I protest Sinclair Broadcasting using free, public airways as a plateform to air its own political views. Deeming the anti-Kerry film as "newsworthy" makes sense only in the context of balanced covereage---required of all broadcasters--and is not something that Sinclair plans to do. This is what we get for allowing corporations to control the media: they think they're above the law.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.